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The rise of household finance

- When we think of finance, we think of corporate finance
- Over the past decade, a revolution has taken place
  - Stock of mortgages is as big as long-term corporate lending
  - Consumers credit market is as big as that for private equity
  - Financial intermediaries make more profits on households than on firms
- Judged from size, households finance is today more important than corporate finance
Households use of financial markets

Households use financial markets more than in the past

- Shrinking role of public pension systems → greater role for personal savings
- Great increase in the menu of assets
- Larger number of insurable risks and insurance contracts
- Access to credit market became easier and variety of loans increased (consumers and mortgages)
- Larger set of payment instruments and services
Interactions have grown

So fast that, for one of the following reasons…

- A cash withdrawal at an ATM
- The automatic payment of a bill
- A check on line of the value of its investments
- Obtaining a checks book
- A credit or debit card payment
- The investment of extra savings
- The application for a new loan or the payments on an existing one

…almost everyday a households deals with the financial market
Increased welfare...

- Greater availability of financial instruments and services potentially highly beneficial
  - Allows to get resources when needed
    - Buy a **house** when one sets up a family rather than later
    - Buy **durable goods** when they are most needed
    - Possibility of financing **investment in education**
  - Allows to **save on time** (e.g. payments on line)
  - **Greater investments opportunities**
    - Better portfolio diversification, larger set of instruments
  - Allows better **protection from relevant risks**
- Financial innovations and developments should be encouraged
…But has brought also some problems

- **Complexity** of transactions, instruments and contracts has grown hands in hands with intensity of exposure of households to finance
- **Ability to understand** new instruments and contracts has not grown so much
- Are households prepared to face the new challenge?
- Partly depends on the measure of their “ignorance”
How big is this “ignorance”? 

- Significant progress in measuring financial literacy

- Two strategies:
  1. Subjective measures (MIFID)
  2. Objective measures based on simple tests
Ex.1. Simple interest calculations

“Suppose you have EUR 100 in a saving account with annual interest rate of 2%. If you leave the money there after 5 years how much will be in your account?”

i. More than 102

ii. Exactly 102

iii. Less than 102

More than half gets it wrong
Ex. 2. Checking account balance

You receive your account balance from the bank; can you tell how much money is available by the end of May?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATA</th>
<th>VALUTA</th>
<th>N. OPERAZIONE</th>
<th>DESCRIZIONE DELLE OPERAZIONI</th>
<th>MOVIMENTI DARE</th>
<th>MOVIMENTI AVERE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>SALDO COME DA COMUNICAZIONE DEL 05/05/2006</td>
<td></td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/05/2006</td>
<td>30/04/2006</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>ADDEBITO R.I.D. 06054542 RAPPORTO N. 06054</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/05/2006</td>
<td>01/05/2006</td>
<td>1008</td>
<td>PRELEVAMENTO BANCOMAT CARTA N. 10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/05/2006</td>
<td>28/05/2006</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>ACCREDITO STIPENDIO</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/05/2006</td>
<td>27/05/2006</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>VOSTRO ASSEGNO N. 3036</td>
<td>187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/05/2006</td>
<td>28/05/2006</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>VOSSA DISPOSIZIONE A FAVORE DEL SIG. PAOLO ROSSI</td>
<td>800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/05/2006</td>
<td>28/05/2006</td>
<td>1013</td>
<td>CAUSALE: AFFITTO APRILE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2006</td>
<td>30/05/2006</td>
<td>1014</td>
<td>ADDEBITO SPESE OPERAZIONE N. 1012</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/05/2006</td>
<td>30/05/2006</td>
<td>1015</td>
<td>PAGOBANCOMAT ADDEBITO CARTA N. 10. ESEGUITO IL 28/05/2006 FARMACIA DELLA SALUTE.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>SALDO FINALE DOPO LE SOPRAELENCATE SCRITTURE</td>
<td></td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Half of the households is unable to figure out the balance
Ex. 3. Mutual fund return

The figure shows the value of two mutual funds over the past 4 years. In your view, which funds has yield the highest return over the period? 
A) Fund 1; B) Fund 2;   C) they are Equivalent;   D) I do not know

¼ provides the correct answer
“Suppose you have EUR 1,000 debt on your credit card. The interest rate is 20% a year. If you do not repay any sum, how many years will it take for the debt to double?”

i. 2 years

ii. Less than 5 years

iii. Between 5 and 10 years

iv. More than 10 years

Only 1/3 gets it right, 1/3 overstates
A composite index

- 8 tests
- More than 55% at most guesses 3 correctly
- Almost no one gets all right
- Mean is around 40% correct
- => strong evidence of limited financial literacy
- Correlates with levels of wealth and education
- Lower for women, the young and the old
- Self-assessed measures of financial ability orthogonal to objective tests => concerns for MIFID
Does Financial Literacy matter?

FL is interesting if it helps mitigate financial “mistakes”

- Two types of mistake avoidance:
  - Avoid making the mistake of **inappropriate use** of financial instruments
  - Avoid making the mistake of **not using** financial services for fear of lacking the ability to rely on them
Type 1 mistakes: examples

Investors with higher financial literacy:

- seem to be able to finance at lower rates - Danna Moore (2003)
- are more likely they repay a mortgage when receive large injections of liquidity - Annette Vissing Jorgersen (2000)
- more likely to refinance a mortgage when rates fall substantially - John Campbell (2006)

FL seems to improve households ability to manage debt
FL & portfolio diversification

- Guiso & Jappelli: investors with low literacy hold less diversified portfolios
- Campbell et. al.: Sharpe ratios are lower for low literacy investors
Problems in assessing benefits of FL

• One can test whether FL helps avoiding mistakes if the optimal choice is well defined
  • Can we say for sure that it is optimal to repay a mortgage when one receives an injection of liquidity?
  • Or what the optimal mortgage type is for an individual?
  • Even the idea of diversification may not provide a valid benchmark if investors have some information advantage

• Need a setting where one choice dominates the alternative: look at investor behavior during the crisis
Market timing during the crisis

- **Idea**: in the face of a stock market crash, conditional on selling stocks or exiting the market, **better sell or exit before than after the crash**

- Use data on a sample of investors in a large Italian bank:
  - Portfolio transaction are observed over time before, during and after the crisis
  - Investors can be sorted according to levels of literacy

- Does financial literacy help timing the market?
Market timing: 1

Probability of owning stocks over time for high and low financial literacy investors.
Market timing: 2

Share of investors with high and low financial literacy who exited the market during the crisis leaving it before, during and after the Lehman default.
Type 2 mistakes

• If one does not know how to behave in an airplane during a turbulence he will tend to avoid flying

• But this is costly too because flying could be the fastest way to get to destination

• Those who have little familiarity with financial markets may decide not to rely on them altogether for fear of making mistakes

• Doing so they also lose the benefits from relying on them
Type 2 mistake: evidence

Less financially literate investors are:

• **Less likely to own stocks**
  • They avoid the cost of poor diversification but lose the benefits of the equity premium

• **Less likely to own a credit card**
  • They avoid the cost of a “bad” use (e.g. incurring in large fees) but give up the benefit of not carrying cash with them

• Not participating may for some be the least of the two costs and be individually optimal but….
  • Slows down market development
  • Dampens incentives to introduce new instruments/services

Promoting financial literacy should be in the interest of the industry
Literacy and Financial Advice
Financial Advice

- If one lacks financial knowledge he can rely on the advice of an expert
- Financial advice can be an extremely important alternative to lack of financial expertise
- Investors do rely on advice but there are limitations
Limits to financial advice

• It is costly: many financial transactions are too “small” to cover the cost of personalized advice => difficult for an independent advice to emerge

• Natural solution: it is offered by the seller of the service
  • Is expert
  • No duplication of costs of distribution

• But because he is also the seller of the product may have an incentive to bias advice
Can FL help recognize biased advice?

- Hard question to answer: need to identify a situation where a seller has a clear incentive to push choice in a direction which is not in the best interest of the investor.

- The crisis helps finding such a situation.

- After the collapse of Lehman, banks access to liquidity became much harder.

- One way to obtain liquidity was to push investors out of mutual funds and advice them to buy banks’ own bonds => de facto a transfer of risk.
  - Use our Italian bank data to check this.
  - Did investors buy more bank bonds after Lehman?
  - Did highly literate buy less?
Exploiting a conflict of interest?

Fraction of bank customers holding bank bonds

Time period

Lehman

IEF
Does Financial Literacy help?

- Holding of the bank bond increases for all investors.
- But it increases a bit faster for low literacy investors.
- Literacy offers some edge against exploitation of conflicts of interests but it seems limited.
Summing up

- Measures of FL document an impressive shortage of basic knowledge
- FL seems to help:
  - Lower type 1 error => make an inappropriate use of financial instruments and services
  - Lower also type 2 error: not using financial markets even if beneficial
  - Enhance somewhat ability to detect distorted advice
- Should we rush to set up mass programs of financial education?
It is probably too early

- We do not know enough about the causal link:
  - Correlations may just be a reflection of differences in IQ, not literacy
  - But while literacy can be increased IQ cannot

- We do not yet know enough how FL should be taught to be effective
  - Scope for field experiments

- If targeted to whole population likely to be very costly. Is it worth the cost?
  - Scope for limited reforms on existing school curricula
Improving on advice

- An alternative/complementary policy is to improve on advice
  - Cheaper to train a pool of experts
  - Easier to update their knowledge
  - The same expertise can be used by many
- But one needs to overcome the mistrust problem (T&A)
- Paradox:
  - Need more literacy because of the limitations of credible advice
  - Need better advice because of the limitations of literacy acquisition
- Act on both margins
Trust and reliance on advice

![Graph showing the fraction of people relying on advice versus level of trust for high and low literacy groups. The graph indicates that individuals with high literacy tend to rely more on advice as their level of trust increases, while those with low literacy show a less steep increase.]